
THE BISHOP CONE AUDIT FOR THE 2024-25 RUNOFF YEAR





Inyo County Water Department Final November 2025

THE BISHOP CONE AUDIT FOR THE 2024-25 RUNOFF YEAR

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRO	DDUC	ION	1
2.0	BACK	GROL	ND	1
3.0	WATE	ER USE	S ON LADWP-OWNED LAND ON THE BISHOP CONE	3
4.0	_		WP GROUNDWATER EXTRACTION ON LADWP-OWNED LAND HOP CONE FOR RUNOFF YEARS 2023-24 AND 2024-25	7
5.0			CE WITH THE INYO COUNTY/LOS ANGELES LONG-TERM REEMENT	9
			TABLES	
TABL	E 1		ER USES ON LOS ANGELES-OWNED LAND ON THE BISHOP IN RUNOFF YEARS 2023-24 AND 2024-25	5
TABL	E 2		ING AND PUMPED GROUNDWATER BY WELL ON THE BISHOP IN RUNOFF YEAR 2024-25	
TABL	E 3		/P USES IN COMPARISON TO LADWP GROUNDWATER ACTION ON THE BISHOP CONE	9
			FIGURES & MAP	
FIGUI	RE 1	EXTR	OF LADWP GROUNDWATER AND TOTAL GROUNDWATER ACTION ON THE BISHOP CONE FOR RUNOFF YEARS 24 AND 2024-25	7
FIGUI	RE 2		ER USES VERSUS EXTRACTIONS ON THE BISHOP CONE FOR DFF YEARS 1996-97 to 2024-25	9
MAP	1	BISH	OP CONE AUDIT FEATURES	4
			APPENDICES	
APPE	NDIX A	4	Section VII of the Inyo County/Los Angeles Long-Term Water Agree	ement
APPE	NDIX E	3	Section IV.D of the Green Book	
APPE	NDIX (0	Data on Bishop Cone Audit Uses and Total Groundwater Extracted Bishop Cone (Supplied by LADWP)	on the

THE BISHOP CONE AUDIT FOR THE 2024-25 RUNOFF YEAR

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The Bishop Cone Audit (Audit or BCA) is an annual comparison between Los Angeles Department of Water and Power's (LADWP) water usage on Los Angeles-owned lands on the Bishop Cone and its amount of groundwater extraction from wells on the Bishop Cone (Cone). The Bishop Cone Audit is required by the Inyo County/Los Angeles Long-Term Water Agreement (Water Agreement). The "Bishop Cone" is a reference to the legally defined area in the 1940 Hillside Decree which incorporates most of the Bishop Creek alluvial fan along with a portion of the northern Owens Valley from Bishop south towards Big Pine (Map 1). The Water Agreement and the Green Book (the technical appendix to the Water Agreement) define the terms, conditions, and procedures of the Bishop Cone Audit. Inyo County Water Department (ICWD) staff compiles the Bishop Cone Audit from data provided by LADWP. The Audit sums pumping and flowing well amounts and compares those totals to water use on Los Angelesowned land during a given runoff year (April 1 to March 31) to determine whether LADWP's groundwater extractions exceed its surface water uses on the Bishop Cone.

2.0 BACKGROUND

The City of Los Angeles owns prior appropriative surface water rights in the Bishop area. Los Angeles also owns groundwater rights on the Bishop Cone as a consequence of its ownership of overlying land. A system of ditches and canals exists to convey surface water from Bishop Creek and the Owens River, as well as groundwater pumped from LADWP wells, to irrigated land throughout the Bishop Cone, with some water exiting the Cone. In 1930 and 1931, Los Angeles extracted groundwater from wells on the Bishop Cone for the purpose of export to Los Angeles. This export of groundwater was challenged by local residents, and in the 1940 Hillside Decree, Los Angeles agreed not to pump groundwater for the purpose of export off the Bishop Cone.

Relevant language of the 1940 Hillside Decree is presented below (a link to the entire decree can be found at the ICWD's website at www.inyowater.org/documents/hillside-decree-1940/):

ΧI

That the defendants [LADWP], their servants, agents, employees, and assigns, and each of them, be, and they are hereby, enjoined, prohibited, and restrained from in any manner whatsoever pumping, extracting, taking, or transporting out of the Bishop Cone area any subterranean waters from beneath said area: provided, however, that nothing in this judgment contained shall in any manner enjoin, prohibit, or restrain the defendants, their servants, agents, employees, assigns, or any of them, from maintaining or operating their presently—existing drainage ditches to the full extent of their present normal capacity, or from taking artesian water that may arise to the surface of said area outside the casings of any of defendants' capped wells, or from pumping, extracting, taking, or using any such water as may be reasonably necessary for beneficial use upon any lands belonging to the defendants,

In 1972, Inyo County filed a California Environmental Quality Act suit claiming that increased groundwater pumping by LADWP was harming the environment of the Owens Valley and

demanding that an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) be completed to analyze the effects of this increased pumping. After numerous legal challenges and negotiations, in 1991 an EIR was approved for LADWP's groundwater pumping, and a long-term groundwater management plan was agreed upon by Inyo County and LADWP. Section VII.A of the 1991 Water Agreement addresses the Bishop Cone and Hillside Decree with relevant language quoted below (full text of the 1991 EIR, the Water Agreement and the Greenbook can be found at the ICWD's website at http://www.inyowater.org/documents/governing-documents/):

"Before the Department [LADWP] may increase groundwater pumping above present levels, or construct any new wells on the [Bishop] Cone, the Technical Group must agree on a method for determining the exact amount of water annually used on Los Angeles-owned lands on the Cone. The agreed upon method shall be based on a jointly conducted audit of such water uses. The Department's annual groundwater extractions from the Cone shall be limited to an amount not greater than the total amount of water used on Los Angeles-owned lands on the cone during that year." (Water Agreement Section VII.A, Appendix A)

At its October 17, 1995, meeting, the Technical Group agreed to recommend to the Inyo County/Los Angeles Standing Committee the description of a Bishop Cone Audit procedure to be incorporated into the Green Book. The Standing Committee adopted the agreed-upon Bishop Cone Audit procedure on November 7, 1996, as Section IV.D of the Green Book.

Section IV.D.1.a. of the Green Book (Appendix B) states: "For the purposes of the Bishop Cone audit, water usage on Los Angeles-owned land on the Bishop Cone is defined as the quantity of water supplied to such land, including conveyance losses, less any return flow to the aqueduct system. Water usage is documented on a runoff-year basis and is compiled by LADWP each May in the Bishop Area Water Use Report [Bishop Cone Audit Uses Report]."

In theory, compliance with the Water Agreement and the Green Book is simple: LADWP can only extract groundwater to be used on its lands and leases on the Bishop Cone with no flow leaving the system. In a simplified, hypothetical situation, LADWP would have groundwater extraction wells at the "top" of the cone which would provide surface water to ditches running downhill to its lands and leases. Upon reaching the "lowest" land, no surface water would leave. However, there are many practical factors that dictate and complicate how the Bishop Cone Audit accounts for LADWP extractions and uses. Some of these factors are: the Bishop Cone topography (generally sloping west to east in the Bishop area, and north to south from Bishop towards Big Pine), the location of LADWP-owned lands throughout the Bishop Cone area, the location of LADWP's groundwater extraction wells (in central Bishop), the location of LADWP's flowing wells (east of Bishop adjacent to the Owens River), the location of the various ditch and canal systems used to convey water in the Bishop Cone, and operational necessities for conveying surface water both on and off the Bishop Cone.

To illustrate further, the primary source of water available for use on LADWP lands in the topographically higher west Bishop area of the cone is LADWP surface water from Bishop Creek that is diverted into various ditches for irrigation (use) on LADWP-owned land. Groundwater pumped from LADWP wells in central Bishop supplements the remaining Bishop Creek surface water. The now commingled surface and groundwater flows east and south and is used on LADWP land in the central and southern portions of the Cone. Groundwater extracted from flowing wells provides water to the Owens River for export and/or downstream

uses in the Owens Valley. Some mixture of surface and groundwater also leaves the Bishop Cone either in canals or the Owens River.

Prior to the adoption of the Water Agreement, several methods were researched to determine the best procedure for tracking LADWP's uses and extractions on the Bishop Cone. A final method was selected which compares the sum of pumped groundwater from production wells and flowing groundwater from artesian wells (extractions) to surface water applied to LADWP-owned lands on the Cone (uses). To determine the total uses, a lease-wise approach was selected which tracks the difference between water coming onto a given LADWP lease and the water (if any) that exits that lease to return to the conveyance system (ditch, canal, creek, or river). LADWP supplies a listing of surface water uses by each individual lease account in its annual Bishop Cone Audit Uses Report (Use Report). Credit for a use is granted on accounts that have been agreed to and inspected by ICWD staff. A combination of monitoring devices is used to track extractions and uses on the Bishop Cone, including flumes, weirs, and propeller meters. Flow measurements are taken either manually or continuously using data-logging devices at these sites.

It is important to note that the Bishop Cone Audit does not attempt to compute a complete surface or groundwater budget. Its purpose is to monitor compliance with the dictates of the Water Agreement, the Green Book, and the legal interpretations of the Hillside Decree. The Audit compares LADWP's total water uses to groundwater extractions during a given runoff year. ICWD staff gave a presentation on the Bishop Cone Audit to the Inyo County Water Commission on December 7, 2016, explaining the principles of the BCA in detail. A copy of the PowerPoint presented at the ICWC meeting can be found on the ICWD website: http://www.inyowater.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/12/Bishop-Cone-Audit-12 7 16.pdf


3.0 WATER USES ON LADWP-OWNED LAND ON THE BISHOP CONE

The location of the Bishop Cone and the pumping and flowing wells on the Bishop Cone are shown in Map 1. Also shown on Map 1 are the general locations of the LADWP-owned lease accounts used in the Bishop Cone Audit Uses Report (Appendix C).

Table 1 is a compilation of water usage by account number in acre-feet (AF) on LADWP-owned land on the Bishop Cone for the runoff years of 2023-24 and 2024-25. These water-usage amounts are a yearly total of the surface water (and commingled pumped groundwater) coming onto a given lease minus the surface water leaving the lease. Negative uses are not counted in the runoff year summed account totals.

Runoff in 2023-24 was more than twofold (214%) the long-term average (i.e., 1971 – 2020). LADWP spread as much surface water runoff as operationally possible in order to attempt to control water amounts flowing into Owens Lake. This resulted in several accounts receiving substantially more water than normal years with water uses more than double their long-term averages. Runoff in 2024-25 was nearly equivalent (99%) to the long-term average. However, this still computes to a large decrease of 37,458 AF in total credited water use on the Bishop Cone from 2023-24 (71,015 AF) compared to 2024-25 (33,557 AF).

Map 1. Bishop Cone Audit Features



Bishop Cone Audit, Inyo County Water Department November 2025

TABLE 1WATER USES ON LOS ANGELES-OWNED LAND ON THE BISHOP CONE

LADWP ACCOUNT NUMBER*2	RUNOFF YEAR*1 2023-2024 (AF)	RUNOFF YEAR* ¹ 2024-2025 (AF)
BC502B (BA354B or BA362B)	635	559
BC302A	162	28
BC302B	2,400	(No Credit) *3
BC311	7,541	4,287
BC313	2,086	1,186
BC324	1,870	2,080
BC1478 (BAICR) *2	522	349
BC387A	2,012	760
BCRECF *4	2,739	
BC339 *4	1,150	_
BC393 *4	190	_
BCKINGSL *4	_	1,713
BC362D	(No Credit) *3	(No Credit) *3
BC304	100	156
BC500	1,609	873
BC397 (BA387B) *2	13,867	5,863
BC361A	3,570	1,503
BC361B	3,375	2,743
BC502A (BA354A or 362A) *2	1,166	783
BCRECA	1,781	948
BCRECC	1,060	149
BCRECD	8,355	2,258
BC338	6,277	3,354
BCOPRB	4,541	1,724
BCLAEMH	2,165	513
BC353	285	411
BC005A	90	23
BC005B	230	84
BC006A	98	131
BC1479 (BA342) *2	35	64
BC392	(No Credit) *3	(No Credit) *3
BC301	906	820
BC335	198	204
BCRVRECA	(No Credit) *3	(No Credit) *3
TOTAL	71,015	33,557

^{*1 -} A runoff year is defined as starting April 1 and ending March 31 of the following year.

^{*2 -} Former account names listed in parenthesis; in 2015-16 "BA" prefix was changed to "BC"

^{*3 -} Accounts need additional monitoring or diversion infrastructure to establish credit.

^{*4 -} Accounts BC339, BC393 and BCRECF were consolidated into account BCKINGSL beginning RY 2024-25.

During fall 2016 through winter 2017, joint field visits to the active BCA accounts were conducted by ICWD and LADWP staff. Based on these visits, and as a result of observations and discussion of past infrastructure workings, several accounts were either granted or denied credit for the 2016-17 Audit. The accounts denied credit for 2016-17 were: BC362D, BC392, and BCRVRECA. At these three sites, ICWD staff deemed there to be insufficient flow monitoring, potentially allowing unmetered water to affect the accounts without proper quantification. No additional flow monitoring devices were installed at these accounts during runoff year 2024-25. Therefore, BC362D, BC392, and BCRVRECA were not granted credit in the current year. In addition, account BC302B received no credit for this 2024-25 Audit as piped tailwater associated with the account was observed running into the A-Drain during a LADWP-ICWD joint field visit in November 2024.

Also based on the 2016-17 field inspections, the method for calculating use on a given account for the purpose of the BCA was changed. Prior to 2015-16, LADWP used Stockwater and Ditch Loss as credits to its lessees to distinguish between surface water used for irrigation and not used for irrigation. However, the Audit's water balance is to determine the total amount of water used on the Bishop Cone between metering devices. The defined scope of the Audit does not differentiate how the water is used (stockwater or irrigation). Stockwater for the purpose of this Audit is simply surface water supplied to a parcel during the year for stock instead of irrigation to grow plants; it is a distinction made by LADWP for the lessees but is a "use" for the purpose of the Audit with properly metered water flowing through diversions onto an account and not exiting the account. Ditch Loss is a similar accounting distinction made by LADWP and its lessees; it is an estimation of the water that seeps into the ground from the Account's metering device location prior to arriving at the actual surface water diversion point on the lease (these are sometimes large distances apart). The Ditch Losses are credited to the lessee to reflect water that cannot be used for irrigation. This water, however, is a use for purposes of the BCA. The Stockwater and Ditch Loss estimates from previous BCA's (prior to 2015-16) have been replaced with the more rigorous and accurate calculation of subtracting flow onto each account from flow off of that account.

The data reporting format used by LADWP for the BCA has also been updated with approval from ICWD staff. The updated Use Report contained in Appendix C has been simplified by removing LADWP's internal, lessee-related notations. The new Use Report now contains totals of water entering and leaving a lease (the pertinent information for conducting the Audit). All flow monitoring stations were inspected during the 2016-17 field campaign.

ICWD staff continue to receive the previous LADWP version of the Use Report to check for historic consistency. The changes in adding Stockwater and Ditch Loss credits for BCA reporting are the primary reason 2015-16 uses were substantially greater than 2014-15 uses. The additional increase in use between 2015-16 and 2016-17 is primarily due to increased surface water availability due to a moderately wet runoff year combined with operational spreading in early 2017. The increase in use from 2016-17 to 2017-18 and similarly from 2022-23 to 2023-24 is due to heavy runoff following those very wet winters (over 200% of long-term average). As noted previously, LADWP actively spread surface water throughout the Owens Valley; and a significant amount of surface water was spread throughout the Bishop Cone.

This 2024-25 Audit has adjusted accounting for areas east of Bishop Creek Canal in the Kingsley Ditch area. LADWP requested that three accounts be combined into one to simplify

and improve accuracy and tracking of water delivered to the entire area, including areas on the Cone but between various leases. Former BC339, BC393, and BCRECF are now consolidated into one account, BCKINGSL (see Map 1). The BCKINGSL account has two flow ON measurements (stations 3171 & 3067) and one flow OFF measurement (station 3183). ICWD and LADWP staff conducted a joint field inspection of these measuring stations in March 2025.


4.0 TOTAL LADWP GROUNDWATER EXTRACTION ON LADWP-OWNED LAND ON THE BISHOP CONE FOR RUNOFF YEARS 2023-24 AND 2024-25

Section IV.D.1.d of the Green Book (Appendix B) states: "Total groundwater extraction by LADWP will be compared with corrected water usage on the Bishop Cone for the runoff year. Total groundwater extraction is defined as the sum of all groundwater pumped by LADWP plus the amount of artesian water that flowed out of LADWP uncapped wells on the Bishop Cone during the runoff year."

Figure 1 presents the total amount of LADWP groundwater extraction, and the groundwater extraction classified as flowing and pumped groundwater on the Bishop Cone in acre-feet for runoff years of 2023-24 and 2024-25.

For runoff year 2023-24, LADWP extracted 7,680 AF of groundwater (776 AF from pumped wells and 6,904 AF from flowing wells). For runoff year 2024-25, LADWP extracted 10,450 AF of groundwater (3,813 AF from pumped wells and 6,637 AF from flowing wells).

LADWP groundwater extractions on the Bishop Cone for the 2024-25 runoff year increased by 2,770 AF compared to the previous year. The historic 2023-24 runoff year was over two times the average runoff which corresponded to much less than average LADWP pumping and greater than average artesian discharge from LADWP flowing wells. The 2024-25 runoff year was nearly equivalent (99%) to the long-term average.



Flowing and pumped groundwater on the Bishop Cone are broken into detail by each well in Table 2.

TABLE 2FLOWING AND PUMPED GROUNDWATER BY WELL ON THE BISHOP CONE IN RUNOFF YEAR 2024-25

WELL	FLOWING GROUNDWATER (AF)	PUMPED GROUNDWATER (AF)
F121	72	NA
F122	166	NA
F123	219	NA
F124	0	NA
F125	1,198	NA
F126	430	NA
F127	582	NA
F128	393	NA
F129	105	NA
F130	451	NA
F131	1,013	NA
F132	423	NA
F133	443	NA
F134	853	NA
F136	288	NA
W140	NA	388
W371	NA	557
W406	NA	1,193
W407	NA	158
W408	NA	193
W410	NA	1,325
W411	NA	0
W412	NA	0
TOTAL	6,637	3,813


5.0 COMPLIANCE WITH THE INYO COUNTY/LOS ANGELES LONG-TERM WATER AGREEMENT

The Water Agreement provides that, during any runoff year, total groundwater extraction by LADWP on the Bishop Cone shall not exceed water usage on Los Angeles-owned land on the Cone. Table 3 shows that LADWP was in compliance with the above provision for runoff years 2023-24 and 2024-25 as the total uses on the Bishop Cone exceeded the total groundwater extractions for each year.

TABLE 3
LADWP USES IN COMPARISON TO LADWP GROUNDWATER
EXTRACTION ON THE BISHOP CONE

	RUNOFF YEAR 2023-24 (AF)	RUNOFF YEAR 2024-25 (AF)
TOTAL CREDITED USES	71,015	33,557
TOTAL GROUNDWATER EXTRACTION	7,680	10,450
USES MINUS EXTRACTIONS	63,335	23,107
Hillside Decree Compliance?	YES	YES

Figure 2 presents LADWP's water uses versus extractions since runoff year 1996-97. Uses have exceeded extractions throughout the data period; therefore, LADWP has been in compliance with Section IV.D.1.a. of the Green Book and the Water Agreement.



APPENDIX A

Section VII.A of the Inyo County/Los Angeles Long-Term Groundwater Management Agreement

Section VII of the Agreement

VII. GROUNDWATER PUMPING ON THE BISHOP CONE

A. Any groundwater pumping by the Department on the "Bishop Cone" (Cone) shall be in strict adherence to the provisions of the Stipulation and Order filed on the 26th day of August, 1940, in Inyo County Superior Court in the case of Hillside Water Company, a corporation, et al. vs. The City of Los Angeles, a Municipal Corporation, et al., ("Hillside Decree").

Before the Department may increase groundwater pumping above present levels, or construct any new wells on the Cone, the Technical Group must agree on a method for determining the exact amount of water annually used on Los Angeles-owned lands on the Cone. The agreed upon method shall be based on a jointly conducted audit of such water uses.

The Department's annual groundwater extractions from the Cone shall be limited to an amount not greater than the total amount of water used on Los Angeles-owned lands on the Cone during that year. Annual groundwater extractions by the Department shall be the total of all groundwater pumped by the Department on the Cone, plus the amount of artesian water that flowed out of the casing of uncapped wells on the Cone during the year. Water used on Los Angeles-owned lands on the Cone, shall be the quantity of water supplied to such lands, including conveyance losses, less any return flow to the aqueduct system.

B. The overall management goals and principles and the specific goals and principles for each vegetation classification of this Stipulation and Order apply to vegetation on the Cone.

APPENDIX B

Section IV.D of the Green Book

COPY FOR YOUR INFORMATION **AGENDA ITEM 4**

MEMORANDUM

7 November 1996

TO: FROM: Inyo County/Los Angeles Standing Committee

Inyo County/Los Angeles Technical Group

CONSIDERATION OF GREEN BOOK SECTION DESCRIBING THE BISHOP CONE AUDIT

Background

Section VII.A of the Inyo County/Los Angeles long-term water management agreement provides that "before the Department may increase groundwater pumping above present levels, or construct any new wells on the [Bishop] Cone, the Technical Group must agree on a method for determining the exact amount of water annually used on Los Angeles-owned lands on the Cone. The agreed upon method shall be based on a jointly conducted audit of such water uses."

At its 17 October 1995 meeting, the Technical Group agreed to recommend to the Inyo County/Los Angeles Standing Committee the attached description of a Bishop Cone audit to be incorporated into the Green Book (the technical appendix to the long-term agreement).

Request


The Technical Group requests that the Standing Committee adopt the attached description as section IV.D of the Green Book.

D. Bishop Cone Audit

This sub-section describes the procedures for conducting the Bishop Cone audit in accordance with Section VII.A of the Agreement. The Bishop Cone audit is an annual accounting of LADWP groundwater extraction and water usage on Los Angelesowned land on the Bishop Cone. The Agreement provides that, during any runoff year, total groundwater extraction by LADWP on the Bishop Cone shall not exceed water usage on Los Angelesowned land on the Cone. The area defined as the Bishop Cone is shown as Figure IV.D.1.

- 1. Procedures for Conducting the Bishop Cone Audit
 - a. For the purposes of the Bishop Cone audit, water usage on Los Angeles-owned land on the Bishop Cone is defined as the quantity of water supplied to such land, including conveyance losses, less any return flow to the aqueduct system. Water usage is documented on a runoff-year basis and is compiled by LADWP each May in the Bishop Area Water Use Report. At the conclusion of each runoff year, LADWP will forward the final water use report for the runoff year to Inyo County.
 - b. The final water use report will be compared for consistency with the previous year's report. If measuring stations have been added or removed from the water-use report during the year, or if a significant change in the pattern of water usage occurs (for example, an account that has not received water for one year receives a

Bishop Cone Boundary



considerable amount the next year), the location will be field-checked. The field-check will evaluate whether changes in water usage warrant the changes noted in the report. If a change is made in the method of delivery to or return from an account that results in an overestimation of uses on the Bishop Cone, water usage for that account will not be credited to the total uses for the audit.

- C. Water usage for accounts BAIND (Bishop Indian Reservation), BA391 (outside of Bishop Cone boundary), and BAWEST (West Bishop private uses) will be subtracted from the total reported water usage.
- d. Total groundwater extraction by LADWP will be compared with the corrected water usage on the Bishop Cone for the runoff year. Total groundwater extraction is defined as the sum of all groundwater pumped by LADWP plus the amount of artesian water that flowed out of uncapped wells on the Bishop Cone during the runoff year. During any runoff year, total groundwater extraction by LADWP on the Bishop Cone shall not exceed water usage on Los Angeles-owned land on the Cone.
- e. A draft report summarizing the results of the
 Bishop Cone audit will be prepared annually as an
 Inyo County Water Department report and will be
 submitted to the Technical Group in June for a 30day review.
- f. A final Bishop Cone audit report will be submitted in July to the Technical Group, the Standing

Committee, the Inyo County Board of Supervisors, and the Inyo County Water Commission.

LADWP will notify Inyo County of any changes in the status, location, or operation of any measuring station used to conduct the Bishop Cone audit at the time the final Bishop Area Water Use Report is submitted to the County. LADWP will also notify the County of any changes in the boundaries of the accounts included in the audit.

Upon request by Inyo County, LADWP will provide measuring station data for accounts included in the audit to assist the County in verifying water usage for individual accounts.

APPENDIX C

Data on Uses and Total Groundwater Extracted on the Bishop Cone (Supplied by LADWP)

BISHOP CONE AUDIT RUNOFF SUMMARY

IN ACRE-FEET

STAID STATION NAME	+/-	2024 APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	ост	NOV	DEC	2025 JAN	FEB		SUBTOTAL APR-MAR	
STATION NAME	.,-	Ain	WAI	30.1	701	700	JLI		1101	DLC	7/11	125	WAN	AI IV-IVIAIN	AI II-IVIAII
3049 #161 OTEY		52	42	62	55	44	16	9	9	10	10	36	29	374	
3377 OTEY DITCH RETURN AT MATLICK DITCH	(-)	47	40	52	54	40	18	13	12	13	13	38	32	373	
BC005A		6	3	10	1	3	-2	-3	-3	-4	-4	-2	-3	2	23
3378 OTEY DITCH DIV. ABOVE MATLICK DITCH		18	41	17	3	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	84	
ВС005В		18	41	17	3	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	84	84
3048 #61-A FRANK ROUFF		85	111	115	117	106	99	32	31	29	28	29	32	815	
3063 DUGGAN DITCH FLOW THROUGH	(-)	77	95	98	100	90	83	26	24	22	21	22	25	684	
BC006A		8	16	17	17	15	16	7	7	7	7	6	7	131	131
3002 GEORGE DITCH W. OF SUNLAND AVENUE		43	50	57	63	58	58	24	14	15	10	16	22		
3264 NORTH INDIAN DITCH BELOW A-1 DRAIN B3A		164	258	296	295	217	143	105	21	42	78	110	116	1,844	
3068 GEORGE DITCH C-3	(-)	26	30	31	37	48	36	20	14	13	9	13	18	296	
3370 NORTH INDIAN DIVERSION W/O SUNLAND	(-)	0	5	21	13	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	58	
3364 NORTH INDIAN DITCH W/O HWY 395	(-)	126	218	252	264	186	137	99	12	31	61	92	95	1,572	
BC1478		55	55	49	44	23	27	10	10	13	18	21	25	349	349
3025 SOUTH INDIAN DITCH DIVERSION #3		3	9	13	17	13	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	64	
BC1479		3	9	13	17	13	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	64	64
3396 NELLIGAN DIV. #1		150	155	183	151	218	173	154	127	62	84	86	89	1.632	
3397 NELLIGAN BELOW DIV. #1		88	132	142	146	160	104	88	52	38	52	64	77	1,146	
3401 YOUNG DITCH #2		75	101	96	138	141	52	50	100	144	30	56	70	1,054	
3421 TOM KEY DITCH ABOVE DIVERSION		37	41	48	53	51	36	44	33	16	13	13	18	403	
3050 HOLLAND #63-B	(-)	17	22	21	20	26	20	24	15	17	15	14	17	228	
3404 NELLIGAN DITCH #2	(-)	149	165	200	192	227	193	189	154	73	94	114	131	1,882	
3402 YOUNG DITCH #3	(-)	56	68	83	112	102	39	33	122	163	37	58	66	940	
3407 YOUNG DITCH #4	(-)	2	4	3	2	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	
3422 TOM KEY DITCH BELOW DIVERSION	(-)	31	36	42	50	48	32	41	29	12	11	11	15	359	
BC301		94	135	120	112	164	77	49	-8	-5	22	22	25	807	820

			2024									2025			SUBTOTAL	TOTAL*1
STAID	STATION NAME	+/-	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	ОСТ	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR-MAR	APR-MAR
	3006 HALL DITCH @ GOLF COURSE RETURN		0	0	0	0	23	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	28	
	3006 HALL DITCH @ GOLF COORSE RETORN		U	U	U	U	23	4	U	U	U	U	U	U	28	
BC302	Α		0	0	0	0	23	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	28	28
	3161 BISHOP CK DITCH #16		69	66	132	77	86	59	27	32	30	30	28	29	665	
	3162 BISHOP CK DITCH #17		89	52	80	92	45	48	0	0	0	0	0	0	407	
	3164 BISHOP CK DITCH #20		24	46	87	93	53	42	21	27	24	18	19	21	476	
	3165 BISHOP CK DITCH #21		83	0	98	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	196	
BC3021	В		265	164	397	277	183	149	47	59	54	48	47	51	1,743	1,743
	3026 NEWLON DITCH BOYD PUMP PLANT		15	41	23	31	36	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	156	
BC304			15	41	23	31	36	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	156	156
	3166 BISHOP CK DITCH #5		131	65	169	231	69	65	0	0	0	0	0	0	730	
	3022 BISHOP CK DITCH #5-A		110	35	94	59	39	57	23	10	9	16	0	28	480	
	3167 BISHOP CK DITCH #9		120	60	232	220	107	59	0	0	0	0	0	0	798	
	3168 BISHOP CK DITCH #30		325	345	299	370	285	277	64	54	72	60	53	63	2,267	
	3392 FORD RAWSON-DIV 1A		1	2	2	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	
BC311			688	507	795	882	502	460	88	64	81	76	53	91	4,287	4,287
	3016 NORTH INDIAN DITCH ABOVE MUMY LANE #58-E		745	980	1,096	1,090	793	441	335	283	245	265	300	327	6,900	
	3017 WONACOTT A-2		93	111	120	109	67	64	34	29	28	30	24	29	738	
	3015 WONACOTT A-1	(-)	123	143	150	118	98	95	48	42	42	43	36	40	978	
	3054 WONACOTT A-3 RETURN	(-)	81	52	51	38	29	26	5	0	7	12	16	14	330	
	3051 WONACOTT #58-F	(-)	30	38	40	36	33	30	20	17	12	14	12	13	293	
	3018 NORTH INDIAN B-2	(-)	443	690	781	779	590	283	249	215	180	196	232	214	4,851	
BC313			161	168	193	228	111	72	47	37	33	32	29	76	1,186	1,186
	3370 NORTH INDIAN DIVERSION W/O SUNLAND		0	5	21	13	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	58	
	3270 SOUTH INDIAN D-3		367	412	398	398	414	306	279	206	153	141	129	166	3,369	
	3005 SOUTH INDIAN DITCH D-4	(-)	96	157	96	103	105	194	201	106	77	64	59	87	1,346	
BC324			270	260	322	307	327	112	78	100	76	77	70	79	2,080	2,080
	3402 YOUNG DITCH #3		56	68	83	112	102	39	33	122	163	37	58	66	940	
	3407 YOUNG DITCH #4		2	4	3	2	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	
	3403 YOUNG DITCH RETURN TO NELLIGAN	(-)	20	26	48	124	123	49	31	98	124	33	54	57	787	
BC335			38	46	39	-9	-18	-5	2	23	39	4	3	10	171	204

STAID	STATION NAME	+/-	2024 APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	ост	NOV	DEC	2025 JAN	FEB	MAR	SUBTOTAL APR-MAR	
	2026 FORD RAWSON CANAL BELOW BISHOP CK CANAL		1,103	590	892	1,246	942	201	0	0	0	0	2	0	4,977	
3	3368 RAWSON & KEOUGH DITCH E/O HWY 395		31	40	28	12	20	8	5	10	18	19	14	22	228	
	2004 FORD RAWSON CANAL DIV. #7	(-)	530	147	341	542	278	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,841	
	2043 YRIBARREN RETURN #2	(-)													0	
	3369 RAWSON & KEOUGH DITCH RETURN AT A-DRAIN	(-)	1	1	1	3	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	
BC338			604	482	579	713	682	205	5	10	18	19	16	22	3,354	3,354
	3015 WONACOTT A-1		123	143	150	118	98	95	48	42	42	43	36	40	978	
	3053 TOMMY SMITH DITCH #162-A		30	27	54	36	11	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	172	
:	3017 WONACOTT A-2	(-)	93	111	120	109	67	64	34	29	28	30	24	29	738	
BC353			60	60	84	44	42	45	14	13	13	12	12	11	411	411
	3036 NORTH FORK BISHOP CREEK I-1(#155 STANLEY MATLICK)		0	98	24	83	71	18	4	5	5	6	5	5	325	
	3004 BISHOP CK N. FORK I-2		495	276	160	217	101	114	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	3316 IRRIGATION FROM WELL #406		0	0	0	0	0	89	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	
	3042 TATUM RETURN AT HIGHWAY 6	(-)	4	0	1	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	3039 TATUM RETURN AT HIGHWAT 0	(-)	42	38	35	50	50	19	7	5	0	3	5	9		
BC361A			449	337	148	251	122	188	-3	0	5	3	-1	-5	1,494	1,503
	3009 MATLICK DITCH F-10		238	287	342	271	309	235	50	38	41	38	37	40	1,928	
	3040 MATLICK DITCH F-13 N		107	104	107	117	132	112	158	235	212	162	189	208	,	
	3008 MATLICK DITCH F-13 N		16	32	39	38	52	32	6	233 4	1	0	109	208	,	
	3007 MATLICK DITCH F-13 L		24	26	30	36 47	54	37	42	16	7	9	10	12	314	
			24 86		107						59	61	56	77	822	
	3035 MATLICK DITCH #154	()		102		94 3	46	28 4	46	60						
	3154 SCHILDER RETURN G-2	(-)	80	48	0		0		27	37	26	24	31	25	304	
	3037 MATLICK DITCH #63-A	(-)	28	29	30	48	63	45	51	22	11	12	15	16		
	3038 TATUM RETURN H-1	(-)	52	65	81	48	118	76	36	59	18	21	9	4		
	3003 MATLICK DITCH RETURN @ B-1 DRAIN 3010 MATLICK RETURN TO "C" DRAIN	(-) (-)	9 38	4 26	2 29	3 22	0 18	1 21	25 53	3 100	33 150	46 123	46 160	52 169	223 909	
BC361B			264	379	483	444	395	295	109	132	82	45	32	73	2,734	2,734
															_,,	_,,
	3388 INDIAN S. RETURN ON SEE-VEE LANE		92	118	86	92	127	107	41	33	40	22	20	61		
	3389 INDIAN MIDDLE RETURN ON SEE-VEE LANE		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
:	3390 INDIAN N. RETURN ON SEE-VEE LANE		8	42	23	18	28	9	16	11	3	5	6	4	172	
BC362D	JJ TATUM, LJ TATUM - DAIRY DITCH		100	160	110	110	154	117	56	44	43	27	26	65	1,011	1,011
:	3043 NORTH INDIAN DITCH B-3		151	154	116	119	78	74	0	0	0	0	0	0	692	
	3011 WEST LINE L-2		17	11	4	18	14	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	68	
BC387A			167	165	121	137	92	78	0	0	0	0	0	0	760	760

			2024									2025			SUBTOTAL	TOTAL*1
STAID	STATION NAME	+/-	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	ОСТ	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB			APR-MAR
3:	387 MATLICK DITCH TO THE N.		135	162	172	168	179	148	38	41	43	49	52	45	1,230	
33	398 MATLICK DITCH #1		232	343	388	471	394	299	104	80	92	87	90	123	2,703	
33	399 REINHACKLE #1		152	194	178	157	131	91	151	108	49	148	153	167	1,678	
34	400 YOUNG DITCH #1		32	61	58	63	76	39	10	40	67	0	2	3	451	
34	424 MCLAREN TAILWATER		72	72	70	92	82	47	58	60	84	43	53	67	801	
34	401 YOUNG DITCH #2	(-)	75	101	96	138	141	52	50	100	144	30	56	70	1,054	
	406 C-DRAIN AT INTAKE	(-)	250	385	285	487	336	232	244	190	145	248	252	283	3,336	
30	009 MATLICK DITCH F-10	(-)	238	287	342	271	309	235	50	38	41	38	37	40	1,928	
BC392			61	59	141	55	76	104	16	1	4	10	6	11	545	545
3:	163 BISHOP CK DITCH #19		301	85	130	195	54	54	0	0	0	0	0	0	819	
3:	174 BISHOP CK DITCH #22		187	65	109	307	74	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	742	
30	019 BISHOP CK CANAL DIV. #24		441	90	185	285	126	0	70	78	61	40	28	32	1,435	
30	020 BISHOP CK CANAL DIV. #25		47	19	58	66	33	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	224	
3:	177 BISHOP CK DITCH #26		347	55	219	198	110	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	929	
3:	178 BISHOP CK DITCH #27		16	8	16	14	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	61	
3:	179 BISHOP CK DITCH #28		76	27	22	57	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	199	
30	024 BISHOP CK CANAL DIV. #29		457	59	167	357	105	43	39	43	49	49	43	45	1,455	
BC397			1,872	408	905	1,480	526	97	109	120	110	89	71	77	5,863	5,863
_	012 GEORGE DITCH C-1		87	87	123	113	114	97	21	16	17	15	16	19	724	
	365 PARK W. RETURN S/O A-DRAIN		129	118	116	139	126	90	65	66	23	3	2	0	877	
_	047 4 X - 58D		414	450	524	419	359	133	177	325	332	332	261	239	3,966	
	366 SOUTH INDIAN DITCH DIVERSION #1 N/O SCHOBER LANE		13	12	15	19	12	11	0	0	0	0	1	0	83	
	367 SOUTH INDIAN DITCH DIVERSION #2 N/O SCHOBER LANE		70	63	80	41	13	66	7	0	0	0	0	7	347	
	408 WELL 408	()	0	0	0	0	116	49	27	0	0	0	0	0	193	
	002 GEORGE DITCH W. OF SUNLAND AVENUE	(-)	43	50	57	63	58	58	24	14	15	10	16	22	430	
	046 SOUTH INDIAN RETURN AT A-1 DRAIN	(-)	134	159	169	105	150	17	84	176	222	235	160	104	1,714	
3.	270 SOUTH INDIAN D-3	(-)	367	412	398	398	414	306	279	206	153	141	129	166	3,369	
BC500			170	110	233	166	118	65	-91	11	-17	-36	-25	-27	676	873
31	027 HALL DITCH PUMP PLANT #2@DON TATUM LEASE(KOCH)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	12	
	028 HALL DITCH PUMP PLANT #4 AT DON TATUM LEASE		84	140	109	114	140	145	32	0	0	0	0	6	771	
3,	028 HALL DITCHTONIF FLANT #4 AT DON TATONI LLASE		04	140	103	114	140	143	32	U	U	U	O	U	771	
BC502A			84	140	109	114	140	145	32	0	12	0	0	6	783	783
3(031 A-1 DRAIN PUMP PLANT #1 S/O HALL DITCH														0	
30	032 A-1 DRAIN PUMP PLANT #3 AT WELL #140		74	127	105	99	85	59	11	0	0	0	0	0	559	
BC502B			74	127	105	99	85	59	11	0	0	0	0	0	559	559

			2024									2025			SUBTOTAL	
STAID	STATION NAME	+/-	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	ОСТ	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR-MAR	APR-MAR
30	67 BISHOP CK CANAL DIV. #13		344	249	228	318	277	207	120	107	105	75	62	102	2,194	
31	71 BISHOP CK DITCH #11		0	0	70	0	34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	104	
31	83 CEMETERY DITCH AT E. LINE ST.	(-)	62	58	62	77	95	66	55	55	12	5	0	39	585	
BCKINGSL			282	191	235	241	216	141	65	53	94	70	62	63	1,713	1,713
20	86 A-DRAIN DIV. TO ARKANSAS FLATS		385	87	378	615	259	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,724	
BCOPRB	A DRAIN - A DRAIN		385	87	378	615	259	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,724	1,724
31	55 BISHOP CK DITCH #5-B		238	33	135	196	24	64	97	98	42	24	0	0	948	
BCRECA			238	33	135	196	24	64	97	98	42	24	0	0	948	948
30	21 BISHOP CK CANAL DIV. #67		41	5	103	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	149	
BCRECC	SADDLE CLUB - BISHOP CREEK CANAL		41	5	103	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	149	149
31	94 SOUTH FORK BISHOP CREEK BELOW BISHOP CREEK CANAL		929	863	1,040	923	752	628	541	494	447	446	370	413	7,846	
31	93 SANDERS POND RETURN AT OWENS RIVER	(-)	378	484	469	224	232	238	220	269	290	274	295	292	•	
30	66 RAWSON POND #3 RETURN TO OWENS RIVER	(-)	189	146	179	149	133	203	215	211	162	171	113	95	1,966	
BCRECD			362	234	391	550	388	186	106	14	-5	2	-38	25	2,216	2,258
32	42 BISHOP CK CANAL DIV. TO 5 BRIDGES #2		28	1	9	18	0	0	0	20	44	40	42	45	245	
_	17 BISHOP CK CANAL DIV. TO 5 BRIDGES #6		0	17	47	41	41	12	8	13	24	24	17	23	268	
BCLAEMH			28	18	57	58	41	12	8	32	68	64	58	68	513	513
31	85 MCGEE CK AT ABERLOUR RANCH		342	476	814	835	581	281	216	201	206	200	211	234	4,596	
	35 MILL POND RETURN	(-)	181	155	119	133	173	91	131	118	123	136	145	164	1,668	
BCRVRECA	4		161	321	695	702	407	190	85	83	83	65	66	70	2,928	2,928

			2024									2025			TOTAL*1
STAID	STATION NAME	+/-	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	ОСТ	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR-MAR
BC005A	ONEY - OTEY DITCH		6	3	10	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23
BC005B	SAFSTROM - MATLICK DITCH		18	41	17	3	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	84
BC006A	BARTON - MATLICK DITCH		8	16	17	17	15	16	7	7	7	7	6	7	131
BC1478	INDIAN CREEK RANCH - GEORGE AND N. INDIAN DITCH		55	55	49	44	23	27	10	10	13	18	21	25	349
BC1479	HIDDEN CREEKS RANCH - SOUTH INDIAN DITCH		3	9	13	17	13	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	64
BC301	AUBREY AND MOXLEY - NELLIGAN AND YOUNG DITCHES		94	135	120	112	164	77	49	0	0	22	22	25	820
BC302A	BOOTHE - HALL DITCH		0	0	0	0	23	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	28
BC302B	BOOTH - BISHOP CREEK CANAL		265	164	397	277	183	149	47	59	54	48	47	51	1,743
BC304	ANDREW AND DAN BOYD - NEWLON DITCH		15	41	23	31	36	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	156
BC311	J.W. CASHBAUGH, ET AL - BISHOP CREEK CANAL		688	507	795	882	502	460	88	64	81	76	53	91	4,287
BC313	BOYD AND ONEY - NORTH INDIAN DITCH		161	168	193	228	111	72	47	37	33	32	29	76	1,186
BC324	DANIELS, ROSSI, HANNON - N. AND S. INDIAN DITCH		270	260	322	307	327	112	78	100	76	77	70	79	2,080
BC335	PARTRIDGE AND JOHNSON - YOUNG DITCH		38	46	39	0	0	0	2	23	39	4	3	10	204
BC338	YRIBARREN AND OPS - FORD RAWSON CANAL AND KEOUGH		604	482	579	713	682	205	5	10	18	19	16	22	3,354
BC353	HADELER AND MILORADICH - WONACOTT AND SMITH DITCH		60	60	84	44	42	45	14	13	13	12	12	11	411
BC361A	ST RANCH - NORTH FORK BISHOP CREEK		449	337	148	251	122	188	0	0	5	3	0	0	1,503
BC361B	ST RANCH - MATLICK DITCH		264	379	483	444	395	295	109	132	82	45	32	73	2,734
BC362D	JJ TATUM, LJ TATUM - DAIRY DITCH		100	160	110	110	154	117	56	44	43	27	26	65	1,011
BC387A	GIACOMINI - NORTH INDIAN DITCH		167	165	121	137	92	78	0	0	0	0	0	0	760
BC392	LACEY LIVESTOCK - YOUNG AND MATLICK DITCHES		61	59	141	55	76	104	16	1	4	10	6	11	545
BC397	GIACOMINI - BISHOP CREEK CANAL		1,872	408	905	1,480	526	97	109	120	110	89	71	77	5,863
BC500	TALBOT - GEORGE AND S. INDIAN DITCH		170	110	233	166	118	65	0	11	0	0	0	0	873
BC502A	SMITH AND STICKELLS - HALL DITCH		84	140	109	114	140	145	32	0	12	0	0	6	783
BC502B	SMITH AND STICKELLS - A-1 DRAIN		74	127	105	99	85	59	11	0	0	0	0	0	559
BCKINGSL	KINGSLEY DITCH AREA		282	191	235	241	216	141	65	53	94	70	62	63	1,713
BCOPRB	A DRAIN - A DRAIN		385	87	378	615	259	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,724
BCRECA	FARMERS PONDS - BISHOP CREEK CANAL		238	33	135	196	24	64	97	98	42	24	0	0	948
BCRECC	SADDLE CLUB - BISHOP CREEK CANAL		41	5	103	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	149
BCRECD	BUCKLEY PONDS - SOUTH FORK BISHOP CREEK		362	234	391	550	388	186	106	14	0	2	0	25	2,258
BCLAEMH	FIVE BRIDGES RECHARGE - BISHOP CREEK CANAL		28	18	57	58	41	12	8	32	68	64	58	68	513
BCRVRECA	MILL POND - MCGEE CREEK		161	321	695	702	407	190	85	83	83	65	66	70	2,928
BCAUDIT	BISHOP CONE AUDIT		7,022	4,760	7,005	7,895	5,171	2,930	1,041	912	877	713	602	855	39,784
Accounts wi	ith no ICWD Credit Totals (BC302B, BC362D, BC392, BCRVRECA)	(-)	587	704	1,343	1 144	820	560	204	187	184	150	145	197	6,227
	CWD "Use" Total	\ <i>I</i>	6,435		5,662		4,351		837	725	693	563	457	658	33,557

^{*1}Negative uses are not counted in the runoff year summed account totals.

2024/25 RUNOFF YEAR BISHOP CONE FLOWING WELL TOTALS

(ACRE-FEET)

	2024									2025			
<u>WELL</u>	APR	MAY	<u>JUN</u>	<u>JUL</u>	<u>AUG</u>	SEP	<u>OCT</u>	NOV	DEC	<u>JAN</u>	<u>FEB</u>	MAR	<u>TOTAL</u>
F121	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	72
F122	16	16	16	15	14	14	14	13	13	11	11	12	166
F123	18	19	18	18	18	18	19	19	19	20	17	17	219
F124	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F125	105	111	105	109	110	89	103	102	101	89	82	92	1,198
F126	38	40	37	36	37	35	36	34	34	35	32	36	430
F127	49	52	51	52	51	50	49	45	46	46	44	46	582
F128	38	37	34	34	34	30	31	33	35	31	26	29	393
F129	8	9	8	8	8	8	10	9	9	9	8	10	105
F130	43	44	41	38	39	36	38	37	38	36	30	31	451
F131	89	93	88	89	87	82	86	81	81	83	75	80	1,013
F132	37	39	36	37	36	33	34	33	33	33	33	38	423
F133	37	42	43	40	38	39	36	33	33	34	31	36	443
F134	77	79	73	77	75	73	77	70	63	64	59	66	853
F136	26	27	26	27	27	25	26	21	22	21	18	21	288
TOTAL	586	614	584	589	580	538	564	537	533	519	473	520	6,637

2024/25 RUNOFF YEAR BISHOP CONE PUMPING WELL TOTALS

(ACRE-FEET)

	2024									2025			
WELL	<u>APR</u>	MAY	<u>JUN</u>	<u>JUL</u>	AUG	SEP	<u>OCT</u>	NOV	DEC	<u>JAN</u>	<u>FEB</u>	MAR	TOTAL
W140	0	0	0	0	201	184	2	0	0	0	0	0	388
W371	0	0	0	0	0	0	63	102	105	103	73	111	557
W406	0	0	0	0	0	89	128	206	212	208	143	207	1,193
W407	0	0	0	0	0	158	0	0	0	0	0	0	158
W408	0	0	0	0	116	49	27	0	0	0	0	0	193
W410	0	0	0	0	0	0	196	246	253	249	130	252	1,325
W411	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W412	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	318	480	416	553	569	560	346	570	3,813